IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE K.HEMA

WEDNESDAY, THE 29TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 8TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

RP.No. 675 OF 2005(C)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.8639/2005
.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/2ND RESPONDENT:

M. GANGADHARAN NAIR,
TRUSTEE, PANAYANKULANGARA BHAGAVATHY TEMPLE,
ENGANDIYOOR, THRISSUR DISTRICT, REP. BY HIS POWER
OF ATTORNEY HOLDER SRI.M.JANARDHANAN NAIR.

BY ADV. SRI.M.P.ASHOK KUMAR

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER/1ST RESPONDENT:
---

1. VASUDEVAN NAMBOODIRI,
MEKKAT HOUSE, PANAYANKULANGARA, ENGANDIYUR,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HINDU RELIGIOUS AND CHARITABLE ENDOWMENTS
(ADMINISTRATION) DEPARTMENT, CIVIL STATION,
KOZHIKODE.

BY ADV. SRI.P.B.SAHASRANAMAN
GOVERNMENT PLEADER
SRI.K.JAGEETESH
SRI.T.S.HARIKUMAR

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 29/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R. RAMAN & K. HEMA, JJ.

==========

R.P. 675/2005

==========

DATED THIS, THE 29TH NOVEMBER, 2006.

O R D E R

Raman, J.

Petitioner seeks to review the judgment in W.P.(C) 8639/2005 rendered on 5.4.2005.

2. The limited prayer made in this review petition is to clarify that the observation made by this court that "Panayankulangara Bhagawathy Temple, Engandiyoor is under the H.R. & C.E. Department" shall not be taken as a finding by this Court. As a matter of fact, this Court has only recorded the contention raised by the petitioner while disposing of the writ petition. There was no finding as such rendered by this Court on any of the issues rather only directed to dispose of the appeal in accordance with law. In such circumstances, it is open to the parties to raise such contentions also in appropriate proceedings.

The review petition is allowed to the above extent.

P.R. RAMAN,
(JUDGE)

K. HEMA,
(JUDGE)

knc/-